# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy Arch Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-2192-01 Box Number 19

**MFDR Date Received** 

March 20, 2017

## **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "The attached bill has been denied stating mediation not authorized. Reconsiderations were submitted on 09/27/16 & 01/03/17 but never processed. We are now requesting Medical Fee Dispute Resolution."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$747.12

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** Submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the respondent. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of review.

## **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 31, 2016	Pharmacy Services – Compound Cream	\$747.12	\$747.12

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.500 defines terms used in pharmaceutical billing.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the closed formulary requirements for claims not subject to certified networks.
- 6. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - Denied Medication Not Authorized.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did Arch Insurance Company respond to the medical fee dispute?
- 2. Is Arch Insurance Company's denial of payment supported?
- 3. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) eligible for reimbursement of the services in question?

#### **Findings**

- 1. The Austin carrier representative for Arch Insurance Company is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on March 30, 2017. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 states, in relevant part:
  - (d) Responses. Responses to a request for MFDR shall be legible and submitted to the division and to the requestor in the form and manner prescribed by the division.
    - (1) Timeliness. The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute [emphasis added]. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information.

Review of the documentation finds that no response has been received on behalf of Arch Insurance Company from Flahive, Ogden & Latson to date. The division concludes that Arch Insurance Company failed to respond within the timeframe required by §133.307(d)(1). For that reason the division will base its decision on the information available.

- 2. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound cream containing the following ingredients, including a compounding fee, dispensed on May 31, 2016:
  - Versapro Cream, NDC 38779252903, 44.82 gm
  - Ethoxy Diglycol, NDC 38779190301, 3 ml
  - Bupivacaine HCl, NDC 38779052405, 1.2 gm
  - Baclofen, NDC 38779038809, 3 gm
  - Mefenamic Acid, NDC 38779066906, 1.8 gm
  - Meloxicam, NDC 38779274601, 0.18 gm
  - Flurbiprofen, NDC 38779036209, 6 gm

Arch Insurance Company denied the disputed service stating, "Denied – Medication Not Authorized." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530(b)(2) states that preauthorization is **only** required for:

- drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp
  (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and any updates;
- any compound that contains a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG
   Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary, and
   any updates; and
- any investigational or experimental drug for which there is early, developing scientific or clinical evidence demonstrating the potential efficacy of the treatment, but which is not yet broadly accepted as the prevailing standard of care as defined in Labor Code §413.014(a).

The division finds that the compound in question does not include a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG, *Appendix A*. Arch Insurance Company failed to articulate any arguments to support its denial for preauthorization. Therefore, the division concludes that the compound in question did not require preauthorization and Arch Insurance Company's denial for this reason is not supported.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 applies to the compound in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:

- (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
  - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
  - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
  - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or
- (2) notwithstanding §133.20(e)(1) of this title (relating to Medical Bill Submission by Health Care Provider), the amount billed to the insurance carrier by the:
  - (A) health care provider; or
  - (B) pharmacy processing agent only if the health care provider has not previously billed the insurance carrier for the prescription drug and the pharmacy processing agent is billing on behalf of the health care provider.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2). Each ingredient is listed below with its corresponding reimbursement amount as applicable.

Ingredient	NDC &	Price/	Total	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of
	Туре	Unit	Units	§134.503(c)(1)	§134.503	(c)(1) and
					(c)(2)	(c)(2)
Versapro	38779252903	\$3.20	44.82	\$3.20 x 44.82 x	\$112.05	\$112.05
Cream	Brand Name	<b>γ3.20</b>	gm	1.09 = \$156.33	\$112.05	\$112.05
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	\$0.342	3.0 ml	\$0.342 x 3 x 1.25	\$1.03	\$1.03
	Generic			= \$1.28		
Bupivacaine	38779052405	\$45.60	1.2	\$45.60 x 1.2 x	\$48.02	\$48.02
HCl	Generic	\$45.00	gm	1.25 = \$68.40	\$48.02	\$48.02
Baclofen	38779038809	\$35.63	3.0	\$35.63 x 3 x 1.25	\$102.60	\$102.60
	Generic		gm	= \$133.61		
Mefenamic	38779066906	\$123.60	1.8	\$123.60 x 1.8 x	\$222.48	\$222.48
Acid	Generic	\$125.00	gm	1.25 = \$278.10	\$222.48 \$	3222. <del>4</del> 0
Molovicam	38779274601	\$194.67	0.18	\$194.67 x 0.18 x	\$35.04	\$35.04
Meloxicam	Generic	\$194.07	gm	1.25 = \$43.80	\$35.04	ŞSS.U4
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	¢26.50	6.0	\$36.58 x 6 x 1.25	\$210.90	\$210.90
	Generic	\$36.58	gm	= \$274.35		
Compounding	NA	\$15.00	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
Fee	INA	\$15.00	INA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
		-			Total	\$747.12

The total allowable reimbursement for the disputed service is \$747.12. This amount is recommended.

## **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$747.12.

#### **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$747.12, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

|--|

	Laurie Garnes	July 19, 2017
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

## YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.